UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.     | FILING DATE                 | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/997,034          | 11/28/2001                  | Jens Grieswald       | 7123 US             | 1255             |  |
| 30078<br>MATTHEW D. | 7590 04/25/200<br>. RABDAU  | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |  |
| TEKTRONIX,          |                             | HOM, SHICK C         |                     |                  |  |
| P.O. BOX 500        | ARL BRAUN DRIVE<br>(50-LAW) | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
|                     | OR 97077-0001               |                      | 2616                |                  |  |
|                     |                             |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                     |                             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                     |                             |                      | 04/25/2008          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Office Action Summary  |   | Appli                    | cation No.          | Applicant(s)  | Applicant(s)    |  |  |  |
|--|---|--------------------------|---------------------|---|-----------------|--|--|--|
|  |   | 09/99                    | 7,034               | GRIESWALD, JE   | GRIESWALD, JENS |  |  |  |
|  |   | Exam                     | iner                | Art Unit  |                 |  |  |  |
|  |   |                          | C. HOM              | 2616  |                 |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communic<br>or Reply   | cation appears or        | the cover sheet wi  | th the correspondence a   | ddress          |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                          |                     |   |                 |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |                          |                     |   |                 |  |  |  |
| 1) 又   | Responsive to communication(s) filed  | d on <i>02 April 200</i> | 8.                  |   |                 |  |  |  |
| ·  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  |                          |                     |   |                 |  |  |  |
| <i>'</i> —   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is                                 |                          |                     |   |                 |  |  |  |
| <i>,</i> —   | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |                          |                     |   |                 |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims  |                          |                     |   |                 |  |  |  |
| 4)🖂  | Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the ap   | oplication.              |                     |   |                 |  |  |  |
| =  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |                          |                     |   |                 |  |  |  |
|  | i) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |                          |                     |   |                 |  |  |  |
| '=   | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.   |                          |                     |   |                 |  |  |  |
|  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |                          |                     |   |                 |  |  |  |
| -  | Claim(s) are subject to restrict  | ion and/or election      | on requirement.     |   |                 |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers   |                          |                     |   |                 |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                          |                     |   |                 |  |  |  |
| •  |   |                          | r b)□ objected to l | by the Examiner.  |                 |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |                          |                     |   |                 |  |  |  |
|  |   | _                        |                     | • •   | FR 1.121(d).    |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.   |   |                          |                     |   |                 |  |  |  |
| Priority u   | inder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |                          |                     |   |                 |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |                          |                     |   |                 |  |  |  |
| 2)  Notic Notic Inforr   | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | ГО-948)                  | Paper No(s          | Summary (PTO-413)<br>S)/Mail Date<br>nformal Patent Application<br> |                 |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/997,034

Art Unit: 2616

### DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/2/08 has been entered.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at

Application/Control Number: 09/997,034

Art Unit: 2616

the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

4. Claims 1-3 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parker (5,822,520) in view of Chang (6,327,637).

Regarding claims 1 and 6:

Parker disclose a circuit for testing a communication system that is subdivided into functional layers comprises a port that allows communication by a test apparatus (the abstract recite the packet shell generation facility PSGF generating test packets for testing network protocol device; and Figs. 6-9 show the test circuit).

Regarding claim 2:

Parker disclose wherein the functional layers correspond to an OSI reference model (col. 1 lines 43-64 recite the use of the OSI reference model).

Regarding claim 3:

Parker disclose wherein the communication comprises data input into and/or data output from the port (Fig. 9 shows and col. 5 line 66 to col. 6 line 8 recite that each layers being in

Art Unit: 2616

bidirectional communication with the kernel of the local computer).

Regarding claims 7-10:

Parker disclose the step of inputting test data which is a stimulation signal, into the port before the outputting step; and wherein the response data, is a monitoring signal, comprise a response to the stimulation signal (col. 2 lines 47-65 recite test packet being generated to simulate the network communication reads on the stimulation signal and col. 9 lines 46-56 recite the effect of the test packets being monitored and evaluated so that the effects of the specific layers can be evaluated reads on the output of the response data).

Parker discloses all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of whereby the test apparatus communicate directly with any layer that is higher than a first layer of the functional layers without the communication previously having to pass through the first layer as in claims 1 and 6.

Chang from the same or similar fields of endeavor teach that it is known to provide whereby the test apparatus communicate directly with any layer that is higher than a first layer of the functional layers without the communication previously having to pass through the first layer (the abstract

Page 5

Art Unit: 2616

recite the logic for devices to communicate with each other without an intervening physical layer thereby eliminating the connection to the physical layer in a system having multiple layers as in claims 1 and 6).

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide whereby the test apparatus communicate directly with any layer that is higher than a first layer of the functional layers without the communication previously having to pass through the first layer as taught by Chang in the circuit and method for testing communication system of Parker.

The apparatus that communicate directly with any layer that is higher than a first layer of the functional layers without the communication previously having to pass through the first layer can be implemented by connecting the logic and interface for communicating directly with any layer that is higher than a first layer of the functional layers without the communication previously having to pass through the first layer of Chang to the test apparatus of Parker. The motivation for connecting the logic and interface for communicating directly with any layer that is higher than a first layer of the functional layers without the communication previously having to pass through the first layer as taught by Chang to the test apparatus of Parker

Art Unit: 2616

being that it provides more efficiency for the test apparatus since the direct communicate, i.e. without the communication previously having to pass through the first layer, would increase the bandwidth of the interface attached to the apparatus.

5. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parker (5,822,520) and Chang (6,327,637) in view of Warren (6,381,721).

## Regarding claims 4 and 5:

For claims 4 and 5, Parker and Chang disclose the circuit arrangement described in paragraph 4 of this office action. For claims 4 and 5, Parker and Chang disclose all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of wherein the processing of the communication is realized on a single chip, with the port being provided on the chip as recited in claim 4 and wherein the processing of the communication is realized on a first chip and the port on a second chip, the first and second chips being linked with each other for data transfer as recited in claim 5.

Warren from the same or similar fields of endeavor teach that it is known to provide the processing of the communication

Art Unit: 2616

is realized on a single chip, with the port being provided on the chip (see col. 1 lines 55-67) and wherein the processing of the communication is realized on a first chip and the port on a second chip, the first and second chips being linked with each other for data transfer (see col. 3 line 43 to col. 4 line 16 and the first and second chip in claim 9).

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the processing of the communication being realized on a single chip, with the port being provided on the chip and the processing of the communication being realized on a first chip and the port on a second chip, the first and second chips being linked with each other for data transfer as taught by Warren in the circuit arrangement of Parker and Chang.

The motivation for providing the processing of the communication being realized on a single chip, with the port being provided on the chip and the processing of the communication being realized on a first chip and the port on a second chip, the first and second chips being linked with each other for data transfer as taught by Warren in the circuit arrangement of Parker and Chang being that it provides the desirable added feature of integrated circuit technology to the circuit arrangement of Parker and Chang.

Application/Control Number: 09/997,034 Page 8

Art Unit: 2616

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Mao discloses a method of user data exchange in the data network and a data network.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHICK C. HOM whose telephone number is (571)272-3173. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pham Chi can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/997,034 Page 9

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Chi H Pham/
Supervisory Patent
Examiner, Art Unit 2616
4/23/08